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(Steve Jobs, co-founder, Apple)

## Open KB*

Ontology<br>relation schema

" 8.8 million have lost their jobs.."
$\square$
(8.8 million people, lost, their jobs)

- broad coverage
- light-weight structure
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## Binary++: Contextual Information

"The Bureau of Labor Statistics believes that 8.8 million people have lost their jobs since the start of the recession."

```
Proposition¹: ((8.8 million people, lost, their jobs) attributedTo believe, Bureau of Labor Statistics)
```

- few argument types: conditional, attribution, temporal..
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## Binary++: n -ary relation

" 8.8 million people lost their jobs in the Great Depression."
Proposition²: (8.8 million people, lost, their jobs, in the Great Depression)

- few grammatical constructs to identify constituents: limited coverage
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Missing context makes propositions incomplete
" 8.8 million people have lost their jobs since the start of the recession." Proposition ${ }^{1}$ : ( 8.8 million people, lost, their jobs)

- limited expressivity


## NESTED PROPOSITIONS

| Complex <br> Assertions | n-ary relations <br> nested relations <br> subordinate clauses | Tripleslimited expressivity <br> non-minimality <br> lost context |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Complex User Information Needs |  |

## NESTED PROPOSITIONS



## Complex User Information Needs

Challenges
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Complex User Information Needs
Challenges

$$
\text { Nested Representation } \begin{aligned}
& (X, \text { reported, }(Y, \text { be, Z)) } \\
& ((X, \text { lost, } Y), \text { in, } Z) \ldots . .
\end{aligned}
$$

KB with light-weight nested structure: NestIE

## OUTLINE

- System Architecture
- Seed Set Construction
- Pattern Learning
- Proposition Extraction
- Proposition Linking
- Experiments
- Analysis


1 Seed Fact Extraction and Bootstrapping
2 Pattern Learning
3 Proposition Extraction and Linking
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## Template

dependency sub-tree nested representation

## Statement

long, complex sentences learn syntactic variants
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## BOOTSTRAPPING

"A body was found by U.S. military police."

"A senior official in Iraq said the body, which was found by U.S. military police, was thrown from a vehicle."
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Extend existing bootstrapping approaches:

- Match all nodes in the template and not just two arguments (and relation)
- Learn nested extraction patterns
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A body has been found by police.
Police found a body.
(arg1, [rel, by], arg2)
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## EXTRACTING PROPOSITIONS

"A body was found by U.S. military police."
body $\stackrel{\text { nsubjpass }}{\longleftrightarrow}$ found $\xrightarrow{\text { nmod:agent }}$ police $\cdots \cdots . . . . . . . .$. (body, [found, by], police)

## EXTRACTING PROPOSITIONS

"A body was found by U.S. military police."


- Extend arguments on: nmod, amod, compound, nummod, det, neg
- Extend relations on: advmod, neg, aux, auxpass, cop, nmod
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## LINKING PROPOSITIONS

"A senior official in Iraq said the body, which was found by U.S. military police, was thrown from a vehicle."

P1: (the body, found by, U.S. military police)
P2: (A senior official in Iraq, said, $\varnothing$ )

## Missing Link

P3: ( (the body, was thrown, $\varnothing$ ), from, a vehicle)

|  | too long |
| :--- | :--- |
| Template | too complex |
| difficult to define |  |

Use syntactic cues to identify missing links more details in paper
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## EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

Dataset(s):

- 200 random sentences from Wikipedia*
- 200 random sentences from New York Times (NYT)*

Baseline Systems:

- Reverb
- ClausIE
- Ollie

Two annotators (CS graduate students) manually label the propositions for minimality, correctness, completeness: pessimistic approach Inter-annotator agreement: 0.59 (kappa score)

* Datasets released with ClausIE


## EVALUATION CRITERIA

## INFORMATIVENESS

Set of propositions is ranked on a scale of 0-5, based on whether the set captured the meaning of the statement.

A proposition is correct if it was asserted in the text and if it correctly captured the contextual information.

## MINIMALITY

A proposition is minimal if the arguments or relation are not excessively long.

## RESULTS

| Dataset | Metric | Reverb | Ollie | ClausIE | NestIE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NYT | Informativeness | $1.437 / 5$ | $2.09 / 5$ | $2.32 / 5$ | $2.762 / 5$ |
|  | Correct | $187 / 275(0.680)$ | $359 / 529(0.678)$ | $527 / 882(0.597)$ | $469 / 914(0.513)$ |
|  | Minimal (of correct) | $161 / 187(0.861)$ | $238 / 359(0.663)$ | $199 / 527(0.377)$ | $355 / 469(0.757)$ |
| Wikipedia | Informativeness | $1.63 / 5$ | $2.267 / 5$ | $2.432 / 5$ | $2.602 / 5$ |
|  | Correct | $194 / 258(0.752)$ | $336 / 582(0.577)$ | $453 / 769(0.589)$ | $415 / 827(0.501)$ |
|  | Minimal (of correct) | $171 / 194(0.881)$ | $256 / 336(0.761)$ | $214 / 453(0.472)$ | $362 / 415(0.872)$ |
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| Dataset | Metric | Reverb | Ollie | ClausIE | NestIE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NYT | Informativeness | $1.437 / 5$ | $2.09 / 5$ | $2.32 / 5$ | $2.762 / 5$ |
|  | Correct | $187 / 275(0.680)$ | $359 / 529(0.678)$ | $527 / 882(0.597)$ | $469 / 914(0.513)$ |
|  | Minimal (of correct) | $161 / 187(0.861)$ | $238 / 359(0.663)$ | $199 / 527(0.377)$ | $355 / 469(0.757)$ |
| Wikipedia | Informativeness | $1.63 / 5$ | $2.267 / 5$ | $2.432 / 5$ | $2.602 / 5$ |
|  | Correct | $194 / 258(0.752)$ | $336 / 582(0.577)$ | $453 / 769(0.589)$ | $415 / 827(0.501)$ |
|  | Minimal (of correct) | $171 / 194(0.881)$ | $256 / 336(0.761)$ | $214 / 453(0.472)$ | $362 / 415(0.872)$ |

- NestlE has 1.1-1.9 times higher informativeness score than other systems
- NestlE has more correct propositions than Ollie and Reverb
- NestIE has comparable precision, higher minimality and informativeness than ClauseIE
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## Ollie propositions

Filter: n -ary relations, long arguments

Candidates for nested propositions

## DISCUSSION

Do nested propositions improve minimality of any extractor?


## ERROR ANALYSIS

Incorrect dependency parsingaffects pattern matching and linkingNull argumentsdisabling null arguments improves precision by 4\%-6\%$27 \%$Aggressive generalizationpatterns to unseen relations
Unidentified dependency typestypical in long and complex sentencesLinking errorsproposition linking rules are too generic20\%
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## BACKUP SLIDES

- What information is expressed?
- How much to retain?
- How to identify it? e.g. non-verb mediated propositions, Messi, a golden ball winner, plays in Barcelona


## RELATED WORK - Ollie

- Unlike previous extractors, can capture relations not mediated by verbs
"There are plenty of taxis available at Bali airport."
- Extend propositions to include contextual information

AttributedTo: who hopes, believes, said or doubts the information ClausalModifier: extract information that is conditionally true

- Use Reverb extractions to bootstrap a training corpus that includes dependency path, relation words and sentence
- Learn open patterns to extract binary relations from unseen text
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- Unlike previous extractors, can capture relations not mediated by verbs
"There are plenty of taxis available at Bali airport."
- Extend propositions to include contextual information

AttributedTo: who hopes, believes, said or doubts the information ClausalModifier: extract information that is conditionally true

- Use Reverb extractions to bootstrap a training corpus that includes dependency path, relation words and sentence
- Learn open patterns to extract binary relations from unseen text
- NestIE doesn't focus exclusively on binary relations. Uses seed templates that are more expressive.
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- Dependency-based extractor
- Exploits knowledge of English grammar to detect clause constituents and type of each clause in a sentence
- Derive triples (possibly n-ary) from constituents
- Requires no training data, labeled or unlabeled
- Captures a subset of grammatical constructs to identify constituents
- Minimality is not the primary goal of the system


## RELATED WORK - ClausIE

- Dependency-based extractor
- Exploits knowledge of English grammar to detect clause constituents and type of each clause in a sentence
- Derive triples (possibly n-ary) from constituents
- Requires no training data, labeled or unlabeled
- Captures a subset of grammatical constructs to identify constituents
- Minimality is not the primary goal of the system
- NestIE uses known grammatical constructs for generating seed set with minimal arguments. Bootstraps to learn more constructs that map to similar representation


## Questions to answer:

- Why is the problem worth solving?
- Core difference between your method and all those that came before
- what does your method accomplishes
- why accomplish more?
- what is the evidence that it works better?
- one message

